UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Judgment in a Criminal Case

(For **Revocation** of Probation or Supervised Release)

Karina Perez

Case Number: 1:12CR01626-001JB

USM Number: 84445-280

Defense Attorney: Kenneth Gleria, Appointed

THE DEFEN	DANT:	
	guilt to violations of condition(s) Standar d in violation of condition(s) after denial	
The defendan	t is adjudicated guilty of these violations:	
Violation Number	Nature of Violation	Violation Ended
Standard Condition	The defendant purchased, possessed, us controlled substance or paraphernalia rela a prescription.	
The defendan Reform Act of		th 3 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing
IT IS FURTH		discharged as to such violation(s). tify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of tion, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid.
		ourt and United States attorney of material changes in economic circumstance
2538		May 16, 2014
Last Four Digits of Defendant's Soc. Sec. No.		Date of Imposition of Judgment
1984		/s/ James O. Browning
Defendant's Year of Birth		Signature of Judge
Chaparral, NM		Honorable James O. Browning United States District Judge
City and State	e of Defendant's Residence	Name and Title of Judge
		May 23, 2014
		Date Signed

Case 1:12-cr-01626-JB Document 38 Filed 05/23/14 Page 2 of 3

AO 245D (Rev. 12/10) Judgment in a Criminal Case for Revocations Sheet 2 Imprisonment

Judgment Page 2 of 3

Defendant: Karina Perez

Case Number: 1:12CR01626-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 3 months.

A term of supervised release is not reimposed.

Although advisory, the Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines` sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines` punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 3 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

×	The court makes these recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:
	The Court recommends the Defendant be relocated to the Otero County Detention Center, NM, if eligible.
	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district: at on as notified by the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons: before 2 p.m. on as notified by the United States Marshal as notified by the Probation or Pretrial Services Office.
	RETURN
I hav	e executed this judgment as follows:
Defe	ndant delivered ontoatatwith a certified copy of this judgment.
	LINITED STATES MADSHAI
	UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Case 1:12-cr-01626-JB Document 38 Filed 05/23/14 Page 3 of 3

By

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL